SAN DIEGO UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT

Date: April 4, 2016

To: Principals, Division and Department Heads, Area Superintendents

Subject: MODIFICATION OF SITE PLANS FOR 2016-17 SCHOOL YEAR

Department and/or

Persons Concerned: Principals, School Site Councils (SSC), and Area Superintendents

Due Date: March 2016 Single Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA)

April 2016 SSC Minutes (SPSA Approval)

Reference: SB 374 of 2001, Public Schools Accountability Act (PSAA) of 1999 SB 1X

Chapter 3 of 1999, AB 961 of 1999, Ed Code 64001, Ed Code 52055.750-

52055.770, and ESEA Section 1003(g)

Action Requested: 1) Involve the SSC and other site advisory groups in meaningful

participation in the revision of the site plan.

2) Submit one (1) copy: 2016-17 Single Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA) Recommendations and Assurances with original signatures to designated Area Superintendent, Education Center, Room 2014

3) Submit SPSA via Site Based Budget (SBB).

4) Maintain evidence of compliance on site.

Attachment 1 Major Categorical Funds Spending Guidelines 2016-17

Attachment 2 2016-17 Single Plan for Student Achievement Recommendations and Assurances

Attachment 3 Single Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA) Checklists and Timeline

Attachment 4 Professional Development Expenditures for Program Improvement Schools Only –

Appendix F

Attachment 5 Financial Planning, Monitoring and Accountability Department 2016-17

Contact Information

Attachment 6a WASC Recommendations 2016-17 EXAMPLE – Appendix G

Attachment 6b WASC Recommendations 2016-17 TEMPLATE – Appendix G

Attachment 7a SPSA Assessment and Evaluation – Appendix E

Attachment 7b SPSA Assessment and Evaluation Summary – Appendix E

Brief Explanation:

As a result of SB 374, schools that receive categorical program funding through the Consolidated Application are required to develop a Single Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA). As part of the annual planning cycle (Ed Code 64001(g), these plans must be reviewed and updated based on the most current student achievement data. At SDUSD these are Title I funds.

School plans need to be submitted the spring of 2016 for implementation in the 2016-17 school year. In order to process the budget allocations for 2016-17, a plan reflecting new updated "scientifically-based research" strategies that strengthen the core academic program must be submitted.

The term "scientifically-based research" means research that involves the application of rigorous, systematic and objective procedures to obtain reliable and valid knowledge relevant to education activities and programs [sec. 9101(37) of the ESEA]. This plan should reflect new priorities based on new/additional student needs, and/or similar methodologies with effective measurable outcomes.

SDUSD implements a Title I Schoolwide Program. A schoolwide program is a comprehensive reform strategy designed to upgrade the entire educational program in a Title I school; its primary goal is to ensure that all students, particularly those who are low-achieving, demonstrate proficient and advanced levels of achievement on State academic achievement standards.

The Schoolwide Program (SWP) requires a Schoolwide Plan. SDUSD embeds the SWP plan within the structure of the SPSA. Schools must annually evaluate the plan's implementation and outcomes to determine whether the academic achievement of all students, and particularly of low-achieving students, improved, whether the goals and objectives contained in the plan were achieved, and if the plan is still appropriate as written (34 CFR 200.26).

Upon approval, the Single Plan for Student Achievement is in place for the 2016-17 school year.

The SPSA identifies and addresses the instructional needs of students and specifies how categorical funds provided through the Consolidated Application will be used to accomplish the goals outlined in the plan. State guidelines require that the SPSA:

- Assess student progress toward achieving stated goals and provide evidence.
- Identify site-specific achievement goals based on a variety of student performance data.
- Describe specific instructional strategies to accelerate student learning.
- Describe the ways in which student progress will be monitored on a regular basis.
- Identify interventions for students not meeting standards.
- Determine the necessary professional development for staff.

 (Program Improvement schools must allocate at least 10 percent (10%) of Title I funds to professional development. The professional development directly addresses the academic achievement problem that caused a school to be identified for Program Improvement).
- Delineate strategies for effective parent engagement (more than communication).
- Reflect estimated costs and funding sources.
- Involve consultation with other site advisory groups.

State regulations require that the School Site Council (SSC) shall be the group responsible for developing and modifying site plans in collaboration with the site advisory groups. The SSC must also vote to approve the school's SPSA prior to the due date and must also vote during the year to approve changes to the SPSA.

Consultation with site advisory groups about student performance data, student needs, identified goals, appropriate interventions/preventions, and associated budgets must continue to be a part of each SSC meeting. This ongoing involvement of the SSC in the review of the site plan is a critical element of the annual planning cycle and should be reflected in meeting agendas and minutes.

As a reminder, a one-year term (1 year) of membership is defined as service from November of elected year (or as soon as elections are held) through October of the next year. Documentation of SSC development, modification, monitoring, and evaluation of the site plans must be maintained at each school in the SSC Notebook. All documentation must be maintained at the site for five (5) years, plus the current year.

The SSC must:

- 1. **Review** all current student achievement data.
- 2. **Consult** site advisory groups.
- 3. **Complete** the following sections of the Single Plan for Student Achievement:
 - Appendix E, SPSA Assessment and Evaluation (Attachment 7a) with Assessment and Evaluation Summary (Attachment 7b).
 - Appendix F, Professional Development Expenditures for Program Improvement & Watch List —Schools Only (Attachment 4).
 - Site Information (Step 1 of SBB).
 - SPSA Executive Summary (Step 3 of SBB).
 - School Goals, Actions, and Costs (Step 4 of SBB).
 - WASC Recommendations 2016-17 Appendix G (Attachment 6b).
- 4. Attach the following documents electronically into the SPSA via SBB in step 3.
 - a. SPSA Assessment and Evaluation Summary Appendix E (Attachment 7a).
 - b. Title I Parent Involvement Policy or Parent Involvement Policy for Non-Title I Schools
 Appendix B.
 - c. Home/School Compact Appendix C.
 - d. Professional Development Expenditures for Program Improvement & Watch List Schools Only Appendix F (**Attachment 4**).
 - e. WASC Recommendations 2016-17 TEMPLATE- Appendix G (Attachment 6b).
- 5. **Approve** the updated 2016-17 Single Plan for Student Achievement and sign the 2016-17 SPSA Recommendations and Assurances (**Attachment 2**).
- 6. **Submit** the SPSA (**Step 10 of SBB**).

Budget:

Remember that the site budget must reflect all categorical and LCFF expenditures identified in the Budget Expenditures sections of the site plan. Categorical and LCFF resources that may have been allocated to the school include:

- Title I (Resource Code 30100)
- Title I Arts Grant (Resource Code 30101)
- Title I Parent Involvement (Resource Code 30103)
- Title I Program Improvement (Resource Code 30106)
- LCFF (Resource Code 09800)
- LCFF (Resource Code 09806)

If categorical money is allocated in the budget and not identified in the plan, the budget and plan cannot be approved. Program Improvement (PI) schools are required to allocate a minimum of 10 percent (10%) of their Title I funds for professional development. Sites must specifically identify how non-instructional supplies and expenses support student achievement.

Training:

The Site Based Budget (SBB) tool will be used to produce the, 2016-17 Single Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA). Financial Planning, Monitoring and Accountability staff will provide assistance in completing the plans at the SPSA hands-on workshops scheduled in February.

SPSAs and categorical budgets (as applicable) must be approved by SSCs and signed by the school's principal, SSC chairperson, and designated area superintendent.

What to do	Where/When
 One (1) copy of the 2016-17 Single Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA) Recommendations and Assurances (R/A) with original signatures of: ✓ Principal ✓ SSC chairperson ✓ Area Superintendent 	Submit one (1) original of the 2016-17 SPSA R/A with original signatures to designated: Area Superintendent Education Center, Room 3126
2. 2016-17 Single Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA).	Submit 2016-17 SPSA via SBB tool

A checklist and timeline of activities to be completed has been included for your convenience (Attachment 3).

The Financial Planning, Monitoring and Accountability Department will review the budget allocations and plan alignment upon submission. If the budget for all categorical program funds is aligned with the site plan, the Financial Planning, Monitoring and Accountability Department will recommend the SPSA to the Board of Education for approval.

The Financial Planning, Monitoring and Accountability Department will consult with school principals and area superintendents to address necessary revisions. Schools will resubmit their SPSAs if revisions to the plans or budgets are required. Corrected plans and budgets are to be resubmitted via the Site Based Budget (SBB) tool for additional review by the Financial Planning, Monitoring and Accountability Department.

A copy of the Board of Education approved SPSA must be maintained on site. The SSC notebook should be used to maintain important information and documentation for school use to meet compliance requirements. In addition to the SPSA, the SSC notebook should include the School Accountability Report Card (SARC-long version), SSC Roster, categorical budget information, meeting documentation such as agendas, minutes and handouts.

If you have questions, please call the Financial Planning, Monitoring and Accountability Department at (619) 725-5609 or refer to the Financial Planning, Monitoring and Accountability Department Contact Information (**Attachment 5**).

Vikki Henton Director Financial Planning, Monitoring and Accountability

APPROVED:

Jenny Salkeld

Chief Financial Officer

Office of Chief Financial Officer

VH:mdi

Attachments (9)



San Diego Unified School District Financial Planning and Development Financial Planning, Monitoring and Accountability Department

MAJOR CATEGORICAL (TITLE I) FUNDS EXPENDITURE GUIDELINES 2016-17

A. FUNDS INCLUDED:

Resource Code 30100 Basic Program Title I/Part A Part of the Elementary and

Secondary Education Act, which provides federal money to support economically disadvantaged students and close academic achievement

gaps.

Resource Code 30103 Title I Parent Involvement Used to encourage parent involvement

in order to improve student

achievement.

Resource Code 30106 Title 1 Program Improvement

Supplemental (PI)

Supplemental funds to implement provisions of Elementary and Secondary Education Act. Funding is provided with the goal to improve student achievement while meeting the state's standards that will allow the school to exit PI status. Schools in PI year 3 receive the funds in preparation for PI year 4 and then receive it each year in PI thereafter.

B. PURPOSE:

- 1. Focus on improving instruction and extending learning time. These programs enable schools to provide **supplemental** opportunities for students to achieve proficiency in the state content standards. **Emphasis is on direct instructional support to students in core subjects.**
- 2. Professional development is a priority and is available to anyone in a schoolwide program, including teachers, classroom aides and, where appropriate, other staff and parents. Activities must be based on student needs at the school site. A minimum of 10 percent (10%) of the total Title I allocation should be devoted to professional development. (Program Improvement schools *must* allocate a minimum of 10 percent (10%) of Title I funds to Professional Development; Resources 30100/30106).
- 3. **Supplement,** not supplant the district's general program. Use of Title I funds must not replace district funds. Title I funds must add to or enhance district program.
- 4. Foster new types of parent partnerships that focus on improving student achievement.

C. PROCESS:

Single Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA)

A Needs Assessment identifies student learning needs at the school site based on an analysis of current student performance data in relation to state standards. The focus is on the academic needs of the children. The students' educational needs drive the planning cycle. The SPSA describes strategies that will coordinate use of federal, state, and local resources to improve instruction and student achievement. The School Site Council (SSC) oversees and approves the plan and use of the associated Title I budget allocations.

Title I Schoolwide Program (SWP)

A federal program that puts emphasis on high achievement for all students while serving the most disadvantaged youth and providing flexibility in spending Title I funds. The needs assessment is a required component of the SWP and the SPSA serves as the Title I Schoolwide Plan.

D. BUDGET:

- 1. Each site develops a budget to implement all strategies for each available funding source. It includes but is not limited to staffing, materials, supplies, contracted services, and equipment that will directly enhance student learning at the school site. The SPSA and the embedded Title I budget is approved by the Board of Education.
- 2. The SSC must approve transfers of allocations within any of the above-listed programs.

E. SPENDING:

Expenditures from the Title I resources listed above are routed through the Financial Planning, Monitoring and Accountability Department for approval. Approval of all expenditures, including PARs, must be obtained prior to the purchase, event, or start date.

Spending Instructions for Title I Budgets:

SSC approval is required for revisions to Title I expenditures originally allocated in the SPSA. The Title I Justification of Expenditure and SPSA Addendum document these changes and must be kept with the SPSA.

Complete the Title I Justification of Expenditure and SPSA Addendum in order to make revisions to Title I spending. Send the Title I Justification of Expenditure and SPSA Addendum with original principal and SSC chairperson signatures along with SSC meeting minutes verifying SSC approval.

Send to:

Financial Planning, Monitoring and Accountability Department Education Center, Room 3126

The Financial Planning, Monitoring and Accountability (FPMA) resource teacher notifies the principal and budget analyst via an email upon approval of the expense/budget transfers.

TITLE I FUND REQUIREMENTS AND RESTRICTIONS EXPLAINED:

All categorical budgets **MUST** be for direct services that impact student achievement. **Students must be considered first**. You must be able to answer the questions:

- Are these expenditures centered on our student needs?
- Do these expenditures provide supplementary services that would not be provided absent categorical funding?
- Do position allocations for categorical funds match the proportion of each position actually performing direct, supplementary services to students?
- Do these expenditures directly affect each student in progressing to proficiency on the Common Core State Standards?
- Does this categorical funding allocation help us reach our area goals?
- Is this activity reflected in our SPSA?

SPECIFIC SPENDING PROCESSES AND REQUIREMENTS:

1. Equipment

- a. General type of equipment and intended use/benefit must be identified in the site plan.
- b. If not **clearly instructional**, seek guidance in advance from your assigned Financial Planning, Monitoring and Accountability Department Resource Teacher.
- c. No procurement cards.
- d. No confirming orders (i.e., purchase order created after item is purchased and delivered).

2. Instructional Materials

- a. Must be related to student academic needs, instructional focus, and core curriculum.
- b. Supplemental to district funded materials and instruction.

3. Non-Instructional Supplies and Expenses

- a. Must specifically identify how non-instructional supplies and expenses support student achievement.
- b. Must specifically identify how administrative costs such as postage, office supplies, clerical time, etc., as well as maintenance agreements, and non-classroom equipment support student achievement.

4. Travel must be pre-approved

a. Submit travel authorization **prior** to trip with justification tied to site plan.

5. Conferences and/or Consultants (for professional development)

a. Must be related to site plan.

6. Field Trips

- a. Must be part of classroom instructional plan.
- b. Must be related to core academics.
- c. Must have appropriate pre- and post-trip activities.
- d. Must be tied to the SPSA.
- e. Must be part of classroom instructional plan.
- f. Must be related to core academics.
- g. Must have appropriate pre- and post-trip activities.
- h. Must be tied to the SPSA.

7. Substitutes (for classroom teachers)

- a. For professional development.
- b. To provide sick leave for teachers paid from the same funding source.

8. Hourly time

- a. For professional development.
- b. Extra time for support of academic programs.
- c. Clerical time beyond the regular school day in support of academic programs.

9. Parent Involvement

- a. Workshops for parents.
- b. Materials for parent meetings, training, parent resource library.
- c. Speakers or consultants for parents.
- d. Communications with parents (including mailings).
- e. Light refreshments only.
 - Meals are **NOT** allowable.
- f. Childcare for parents to attend workshops.
- g. Translation for parents attending workshops.
- h. Equipment and supplies for parent room.

Reminder: Title I funds are never used to fund the following expenses

- a. Noon duty
- b. Meals
- c. Athletic equipment
- d. Medical supplies
- e. Custodial supplies
- f. Building improvement
- g. Replacement of i21 materials; check with IT Help Desk for available supplies.
- h. Vice Principals
- i. Clerical Positions
- j. Copier Contractk. Community Service Assistant
- 1. Campus Security

CATEGORICAL SPENDING GUIDELINES 2016-17

Rationales for Categorical Spending must be clearly described in the Single Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA).

Resource #	Resource Name	Allowable Expenses	Non-allowable Expenses
30100	Title I Basic Required: Program Improvement schools must allocate 10% of Title I funds for Professional Development.	 Equipment –directly related to support student achievement in the Common Core State Standards. Instructional Materials Travel for professional development (must be pre-approved). Conferences for professional development. Field Trips (in support of common core instruction). Substitutes to provide release time for professional development (for classroom teachers). Parent Involvement Extended Day/Year Programs Additional FTE above district allocation including: Nurse Counselor Avid teachers and tutors Class size reduction teachers Push-in Instruction teacher Subject-specific resource teachers Classroom teachers who provide qualitatively different instruction to underperforming students. Prep teachers (only if used to release teachers for professional development). Parent Academic Liaison 	 Administrative Positions including Vice Principals and Dean of Students Clerical positions Network Techunless working directly with students on intervention programs and/or activities. Copier contract Community Service Assistant Campus Security Noon Duty Meals Athletic equipment Medical supplies Custodial supplies Building improvement

Categorical Spending Guidelines 2016-17- continued

Resource #	Resource Name	Allowable Expenses	Non-allowable Expenses
30100		 Hourly time including: Classroom teachers and classified staff working directly in supplemental services and/or programs for students Nursing Time Counselor Time Pupil Advocate Assistants (guidance, classroom, library, etc.) If working directly with students on intervention programs or activities. This MUST be clearly articulated in the SPSA. 	
30103	Title I Parent Involvement	 Materials for parent meetings and training Conferences and workshops for parents Parent communication materials Light refreshments. (meals are not allowable) 	 Same as for Title I Basic. Meals
30106	Title I Program Improvement Supplemental (PI schools in Years 4 & 5 only)	 Same as for Title I Basic Required to set aside 10% of allocation for staff professional development. Expenditures must be directly related to meeting the goals in the school's Program Improvement plan. 	• Same as for Title I Basic.



San Diego City Schools Financial Planning and Development Financial Planning, Monitoring and Accountability Department

2016-2017 SINGLE PLAN FOR STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT RECOMMENDATIONS AND ASSURANCES

SC	HOOL NAME:		DUE A	April 2016
SII	TE CONTACT PERSON:			
Рн	IONE: FAX:	E-MAIL ADDRI	ESS:	
Ind		Programs are consolidated in the Program Improvement (PI) 1 □ Y2 □ Y3 □ Y4 □ Y5 □		that apply):
	e School Site Council (SSC) recommends this so lucation for approval, and assures the Board of		expenditures to the dis	strict Board of
1.	The SSC is correctly constituted, and was formed	in accordance with SDUSD Boar	rd of Education policy	and state law.
2.	The SSC reviewed its responsibilities under state policies relating to material changes in the school		tion policies, including	those Board
3.	The SSC sought and considered all recommendate	ions from the following site group	os or committees befor	e adopting this plan.
	CHECK ALL THAT APPLY TO YOUR SIT	E AND LIST THE DATE OF I	PRESENTATION TO	SSC:
	English Learner Advisory Committee (EL	AC)	Date of presentation:	
	Community Advisory Committee for Spec	ial Education Programs (CAC)	Date of presentation:	
	Gifted and Talented Education Program A	dvisory Committee (GATE)	Date of presentation:	
	Site Governance Team (SGT)		Date of presentation:	
	Other (list):		Date of presentation:	
4.	The SSC reviewed the content requirements for s content requirements have been met, including the Educational Agency (LEA) Plan.			
5.	The site plan is based upon a thorough analysis o sound, comprehensive, coordinated plan to reach			
6.	The site plan or revisions to the site plan were ad	opted by the SSC on:		
	e undersigned declare under penalty of perjury ned in San Diego, California, on the date(s) indi		orrect and that these	Assurances were
	Type/Print Name of School Principal	Signature of School Prin	cipal	Date
	Type/Print Name of SSC Chairperson	Signature of SSC Chairp	person	Date
	Type/Print Name of Area Superintendent	Signature of Area Super	intendent	Date

Submit Document With Original Signatures To:

Financial Planning, Monitoring and Accountability Department Eugene Bruckner Education Center, Room 3126



SINGLE PLAN FOR STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT CHECKLIST AND TIMELINE

The Single Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA) is **due on March 4, 2016**. The following checklist is being provided as a guide in the development process.

ACTIVITY	DUE DATES
School Site Council (SSC) is formed.	By November 2, 2015
Involve parents and community in developing and implementing the school plan.	On going
Seek school committees' input. This needs to be documented in SSC minutes.	
Attend SBB workshop (Principals)	February 1-19, 2016
Update and complete SPSA via SBB:	March 4, 2016
Review and analyze student achievement data.	
Conduct SPSA Assessment and Evaluation of current academic program.	
Analyze and summarize SPSA Assessment and Evaluation.	
Establish SMART goals.	
Complete categorical budget for staffing (Principals, ILT, and SSC). Principals meet with Budget Analyst and Resource Teacher regarding 2016-17 workbooks.	
If needed, schedule individual time with budget analyst.	
Upload to SBB:	
☐ Home School Compact (Step 3)	
Parent Involvement Policy (Step 3)	
Professional Development for PI Schools (Step 3)	
☐ WASC Action Plan – Secondary Schools (Step 3)	
SPSA Assessment and Evaluation Summary (Step 3)	
☐ Master Schedule – Secondary Schools (Step 6)	
Complete and approve all sections of the SPSA.	



Activity	Due Dates
 □ Print one (1) copy of the Recommendations and Assurances (R&A) and submit to Area Superintendent. □ Obtain original signatures from: □ Principal □ SSC Chairperson R&A page must have original signatures. No copies. 	March 11, 2016 One (1) copy of the R&A with original signatures
Area Superintendent reviews SPSA and submits one (1) Recommendations and Assurances page with original signatures to: Education Center - 4100 Normal Street Financial Planning, Monitoring and Accountability (FPMA) – Room 3126	March 2016
SPSAs submitted to the Board of Education for approval.	June 2016



APPENDIX F

School Name:	(ENTER SCHOOL NAME)
--------------	---------------------

SINGLE PLAN FOR STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT

2016-17	PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT EXPENDITURES FOR PROGRAM IMPROVEMEN	T SCHOOLS
	Enter Total Allocation: Resource 30100	\$0.00
	Enter Total Allocation: Resource 30106	\$0.00
	Sum or Resources 30100 & 30106	\$0.00
	10% allocation needed for PD	\$0.00
k ono	□ Watch List □ Voor 1 □ Voor 2 □ Voor 3 □ Voor 4 □ Voor 5 □ Voor 5	
chools in	Program Improvement must set aside a minimum of 10% of their Title 1 allocation for Professiona	al Development.
Acct	Description of how funds reserved for PD will be used to remove the school from PI status	Amount
		\$0.00
		\$0.00
		\$0.00
		\$0.00
		\$0.00
	Total Allocated - Must be at least 10% of the sum of 30100 and 30106	\$0.00
	10 % allocation has been met	·
	k one:	Sum or Resources 30100 & 30106 10% allocation needed for PD k one:



Financial Planning and Development Financial Planning, Monitoring and Accountability Department Vikki Henton, Director

2016-17 CONTACT INFORMATION

STAFF ASSIGNMENTS	SCHOOL ASSIGNMENTS
Dario Gutierrez (619) 725-7785 Email: dgutierrez2@sandi.net	All Schools listed in: Areas 1 and 2 Home Hospital, Riley, TRACE, and Whittier, iHigh
Mary Johnson (619) 725-5611 Email: <u>mjohnson8@sandi.net</u>	All Schools listed in: Areas 5 and 6 DAC Liaison
Susan JK Weinshanker (619) 725-5614 Email: sweinshanker@sandi.net	All Schools listed in: Areas 3 and 4 ALBA WASC Coordinator

Eugene Brucker Education Center 4100 Normal Street, Room 3126

Telephone: (619) 725-5609 Fax: (619) 725-7055

Additional resources and information can be found at the Financial Planning, Monitoring and Accountability Department website

http://www.sandi.net/Page/37313



APPENDIX G	•			
WASC RECOMMENDATIONS		X	YE	ΑI

School:	

Using the recommendations from your most recent full study visit complete the following template:

WASC: Schoolwide Critical Areas for follow-up	SPSA Goal Area: 1, 2, 3, 4 or 5	Professional Development Resources	Means to Assess Improvement	Reporting Timeline Responsible Person(s)
Continue to provide training and best practices opportunities during collaboration, inservice training for Common Core in efforts to strengthen differentiation strategies for all	Goal: 1, 2, 3, and 4	Staff Training on new Common Core along with directions focusing on the needs and support structures for strategic & intensive high school	1a. Developed an understanding among site & district staff regarding the needs of strategic and intensive	Monthly discussions at ILT: Sept – June 2016 - Principal Monthly School Newsletter: Sept 2014 –
subgroups.		learners, with an emphasis on English Learners. ILT to work with staff to develop a consistent intervention system for 9 th and 10 th grade students.	learners and developing an English/ Language Arts system which includes interventions to support their	June 2016 - Principal Monthly English Department Meetings: Sept 2014 – June 2016 – English Chair/ District Curriculum Specialist.
		Training for Administrative staff and ILT by district or County staff. ELA and EL staff to review	achievement. Agreement of Leadership & staff to offer a system of differentiated	Monthly Staff wide PLC development with a focus on ELA strategies for all curricular areas: Sept 2014 – June 2016 – ILT/

WASC: Schoolwide	SPSA Goal Area:	Professional	Means to Assess	Reporting Timeline
Critical Areas for follow-up	1, 2, 3, 4 or 5	Development Resources	Improvement	Responsible Person(s)
		pacing of 9 th and 10 th grade core program to ensure that students are receiving new Common Core standards and have appropriate assessments to track student proficiency.	interventions to students who are not performing at the "proficient" Level, including specific EL support. 1b. Review 9 th and 10 th grade standards-based curriculum to ensure that priority standards are addressed consistently among all grade level courses. Provide interventions available to students based on student test and achievement data; (courses listed in school course catalog – list student learning levels and skills provided/taught.	English Department. Reports to be submitted to Principal for accountability Monthly for PLCs: Sept 2014 – June 2016: ILT/ Department Chairs. 9 th grade Pre-CAHSEE Test: October – Vice Principal Parent Night schoolwide review of intervention the first week in December – Principal/ ILT. Data Reported to SSC in December – Principal/ ILT. Report to be Published from December meeting: first week in January – Principal/Vice Principal/ ILT. Update School Website: Jan 2015 – Principal/ IT

WASC: Schoolwide Critical Areas for follow-up	SPSA Goal Area: 1, 2, 3, 4 or 5	Professional Development Resources	Means to Assess Improvement	Reporting Timeline Responsible Person(s)
Increase student utilization of		Meetings with feeder school,	developed and indicate priority standards. Principal walk-through includes the pacing calendar provided by the ELA staff presented and adopted by site staff. Establish an ELA	Notification to all entering
targeted support programs for all entering 9 th grade students by identifying reading level.	Goal: 1, 2, 3, and 4	OLEA support for district and English Specialist to determine assessments to be used and the cut point for proficiency. Meetings with administration and counselors to develop procedures & practices that will ensure that the testing occurs in a timely manner and those students are placed appropriately in the correct courses in September. Meetings with feeder school to develop agreements for preparation for core ELA program and placement test to be given on Jun of 8 th	placement system that determines whether incoming 9 th grade students either have the necessary reading level to access the 9 th grade core curriculum, or need to be placed in an accelerated reading intervention program (below 6 th grade) or need to be given a "strategic" intervention which may include a Strategic or ELD support.	9 th grade parents and students regarding placement and testing: August – September – Principal/ Counselor/ ILT. Testing on all incoming 9 th Graders – 8 th and 9 th grade English Teachers/ Counselor. Students placed in classes: September - Counselors

WASC: Schoolwide Critical Areas for follow-up	SPSA Goal Area: 1, 2, 3, 4 or 5	Professional Development Resources	Means to Assess Improvement	Reporting Timeline Responsible Person(s)
		grade school year.	9 th grade ELA teachers give language arts instructional materials placement exam. Develop a "watch list" for students who do not have reading ability for success. Written regulations regarding placement assessment system which include the list of diagnostic assessment with entrance & exit "cut point" for core, strategic & intensive intervention courses.	
3. Maintain a unified purpose through the implementation of site efforts and plans Transformation Plan/SIG, SPSA, WASC Action Plan, the College Majors branding projecting a consistent message and goals.	Goal: 1 and 5	SSC to meet monthly to develop schoolwide vision to be shared with all stakeholders. Staff Presentations of school	Development of a schoolwide vision that is adopted and utilized for staff, students, parents and the community after development and	All chairpersons will meet once a week from 8:00 – 10:00 a.m. to coordinate the implementation efforts for the site.

WASC: Schoolwide	SPSA Goal Area:	Professional	Means to Assess	Reporting Timeline
Critical Areas for follow-up	1, 2, 3, 4 or 5	Development Resources	Improvement	Responsible Person(s)
		vision to promote student achievement for all ILT to work with staff and home groups to develop a consistent message for all students. Training for Administrative staff and ILT by district or County staff.	consensus. Revisiting of the School Vision Annual at Open House meeting	Fall Back-to-School Night September 7:00 p.m., coordinated by Vice Principal. Spring Open House April 7:00 pm – coordinated by Vice Principal. Monthly ILT meetings first Monday of every month, ILT Coordinator 2:30 – 4:00 p.m. Monthly SSC meetings – second Monday of every month – SSC Chair 2:30 – 4:00 p.m. Monthly Principal's Chats – every third Wednesday from 8:00 – 9:00 a.m. in Library weekly SIG meetings for all staff from 2:00 – 4:00 p.m. ELAC meeting third Monday of every month from 6:00 – 8:00 p.m. –

WASC: Schoolwide Critical Areas for follow-up	SPSA Goal Area: 1, 2, 3, 4 or 5	Professional Development Resources	Means to Assess Improvement	Reporting Timeline Responsible Person(s)
				coordinated by ELST All meetings will document the messages and the implementation. Evidence will include but is not limited to the agenda and the minutes for each specific group meetings. Quarterly evening counseling sessions from 6:00 – 8:00 p.m. during months Feb., May, Sept., and December.
4. Enhance capacity for credit recovery and remediation in an effort to increase graduation rate.	Goal 4	Meetings with administration and counselors to develop procedures and practices that will ensure that the testing occurs in a timely manner and those students are placed appropriately in the correct courses in September.	Develop a "watch list" for students who do not have reading ability for success. Written regulations regarding placement assessment system which include the list of diagnostic assessment with entrance and exit "cut point" for core, strategic and intensive intervention courses.	Weekly review by all teaching staff to identify all students not meeting proficiencies in English and mathematics. Thursday morning meeting with identified students with counseling staff. Fourth Thursday afternoon every month from 4 – 6 p.m. with parents of identified students.



	APPENDIX G WASC RECOMMENDATIONS	YEAR:	
School:			

Using the recommendations from your most recent full study visit complete the following template:

WASC: Schoolwide Professional Reporting Timeline SPSA Goal Area: Means to Assess Development Resources Critical Areas for follow-up 1, 2, 3, 4 or 5 Responsible Person(s) Improvement



APPENDIX E SINGLE PLAN FOR STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT ASSESSMENT AND EVALUATION SURVEY

SCHOOL NAME:	
	Type or Print

Please keep these surveys in your SSC binder for FPM documentation.

1. FOCUS AREA (MAXIMIZING INSTRUCTIONAL TIME)	IMPLEMENTATION STATUS CIRCLE THE MOST APPROPRIATE SCORE				Notes	
Does the school have clearly articulated strategies/activities for maximizing instructional time?	Not at All	Minimally 2	Partially 3	Substantially 4	Fully 5	
2. Is the school using the state/district-adopted core instructional programs in language arts and mathematics daily?	Not at All	Minimally 2	Partially 3	Substantially 4	Fully 5	
3. Does the school have a pacing guide for each grade level in order for all teachers to know when standards are expected to be addressed and in what order?	Not at All	Minimally 2	Partially 3	Substantially 4	Fully 5	
4. Does the school have a comprehensive assessment system in language arts and mathematics (including entry-level screening, diagnostic, benchmark, and summative assessments)?	Not at All	Minimally 2	Partially 3	Substantially 4	Fully 5	
5. Do teachers and principals regularly analyze and discuss these data to make decisions regarding student placement, student progress, effectiveness of instruction, and intervention?	Not at All	Minimally 2	Partially 3	Substantially 4	Fully 5	
6. Is the school implementing intensive interventions in language arts and mathematics for students who are over two years below grade level?	Not at All	Minimally 2	Partially 3	Substantially 4	Fully 5	
7. Are students with disabilities taught in the least restrictive environment?	Not at All	Minimally 2	Partially 3	Substantially 4	Fully 5	



	. FOCUS AREA (MAXIMIZING INSTRUCTIONAL TIME)	Circi	IMPLEME LE THE MO		N STATUS OPRIATE SC	ORE	Notes
8.	Does instructional design and delivery maximize student engagement, a positive learning climate, higher order thinking skills, problem solving, critical thinking, etc.?	Not at All	Minimally 2	Partially 3	Substantially 4	Fully 5	
9.	Based on the needs analysis grade level(s), and/or content area(s a. Math b. English Langu d. Social Studies e. English Langu	age Arts (E	LA)	0 1	ovement? c. Scien	ce	
10.	10. Which English Language Arts strategies/activities supported by categorical programs address targeted subgroups or grade levels? After School Intervention Saturday Intervention During the day intervention (push-in, learning labs, auxiliary, etc.) Professional Development Conference Attendance CSR Technology Contract Services Supplemental materials Paraprofessionals (Teacher Assistants, Instructional Aides, Educational Aides, Library Aides, Counselor Aides, etc.) Certificated Support Personnel (Intervention Coordinator, Instructional Coach, Data Coordinator, Counselor, Librarian, etc.) Software Licenses (Accelerated Reader, Read 180, etc.) Other						
11.	 11. What were the results of the English Language Arts strategies/activities supported by categorical programs? a. All improved b. No improvement c. Partial growth/improvement d. No categorical funds were used 						
12.	If there was no improvement or only partial improvement what a a. Lack of timely implementation b. Limited or ineffective PD to support implementation c. Not implemented with fidelity d. Not matched to students' needs e. Student attendance f. Need more time g. Limited support personnel h. Other	are the poss	ible underly	ying cause	ss?		



1. FOCUS AREA (MAXIMIZING INSTRUCTIONAL TIME)	IMPLEMENTATION STATUS CIRCLE THE MOST APPROPRIATE SCORE	Notes
13. What data supports this finding? a. Curriculum-based assessments b. Program assessments c. Teacher-created assessments d. Student work e. Periodic Assessments f. Grades/Report Cards g. CAHSEE h. Other		
14. Based on the analysis of the impact of the strategies/activities on	student achievement, which strategies will be elimina	ted next year?
15. If continuing a strategy/activity that resulted only in partial impr	ovement, what modifications will be made?	
16. Which Mathematics strategies/activities supported by categoric After School Intervention Saturday Intervention Professional Development Conference At Technology Contract Service Paraprofessionals (Teacher Assistants, Instructional Aides, Educ Certificated Support Personnel (Intervention Coordinator, Instru Software Licenses (Achieve 3000, etc.)	vention During the day interven CSR ces Supplemental materials rational Aides, Library Aides, Counselor Aides, etc.)	tion (push-in, learning labs, auxiliary, etc.)
 17. What were the results of the Mathematics strategies/activities su a. All improved b. No improvement c. Partial growth/improvement d. No categorical funds were used 	pported by categorical programs?	



1. FOCUS AREA (MAXIMIZING INSTRUCTIONAL TIME)	IMPLEMENTATION STATUS CIRCLE THE MOST APPROPRIATE SCORE	Notes
 18. If there was no improvement or only partial improvement what a a. Lack of timely implementation b. Limited or ineffective PD to support implementation c. Not implemented with fidelity d. Not matched to students' needs e. Student attendance f. Need more time g. Limited support personnel h. Other	are the possible underlying causes?	
19. What data supports this finding? a. Curriculum-based assessments b. Program assessments c. Teacher-created assessments d. Student work g. Periodic Assessments h. Grades/Report Cards i. CAHSEE j. Other		
20. Based on the analysis of the impact of the strategies/activities on	a student achievement, which strategies will be elimina	ted next year?
21. If continuing a strategy/activity that resulted only in partial impr	ovement, what modifications will be made?	
22. Which ELD strategies/activities supported by categorical progra After School Intervention Saturday Intervention Professional Development Conference At Technology Contract Servic Paraprofessionals (Teacher Assistants, Instructional Aides, Educ Certificated Support Personnel (Intervention Coordinator, Instru Software Licenses (Accelerated Reader, Read 180, LEXIA, BUR	vention During the day intervent tendance CSR Supplemental materials rational Aides, Library Aides, Counselor Aides, etc.) ctional Coach, Data Coordinator, Counselor, Librarian	



1. FOCUS AREA (MAXIMIZING INSTRUCTIONAL TIME)	IMPLEMENTATION STATUS CIRCLE THE MOST APPROPRIATE SCORE	NOTES
23. What were the results of the English Language Development str a. All improved b. No improvement c. Partial growth/improvement d. No categorical funds were used	ategies/activities supported by categorical programs?	
24. If there was no improvement or only partial improvement what a a. Lack of timely implementation b. Limited or ineffective PD to support implementation c. Not implemented with fidelity d. Not matched to students' needs e. Student attendance f. Need more time g. Limited support personnel h. Other	are the possible underlying causes?	
25. What data supports this finding? a. Curriculum-based assessments b. Program assessments c. Teacher-created assessments d. Student work f. CELDT g. Periodic Assessments h. Grades/Report Cards i. CAHSEE j. Other		
26. Based on the analysis of the impact of the strategies/activities or	n student achievement, which strategies will be eliminate	ted next year?
27. If continuing a strategy/activity that resulted only in partial impr	rovement, what modifications will be made?	



	2. FOCUS AREA (CLOSING THE ACHIEVEMENT GAP)	IMPLEMENTATION STATUS CIRCLE THE MOST APPROPRIATE SCORE					Notes
1.	Does the school have clearly articulated strategies/activities for closing the achievement gap?	Not at All	Minimally 2	Partially 3	Substantially 4	Fully 5	
2.	Does the school have clearly articulated measurable goals for improvement in student achievement?	Not at All	Minimally 2	Partially 3	Substantially 4	Fully 5	
3.	Is the school supplementing these programs appropriately based on program gaps and student needs?	Not at All	Minimally 2	Partially 3	Substantially 4	Fully 5	
4.	Does the school provide adequate support to English learners in both learning English and gaining access to content?	Not at All	Minimally 2	Partially 3	Substantially 4	Fully 5	
5.	Do students with disabilities receive appropriate accommodations and modifications?	Not at All	Minimally 2	Partially 3	Substantially 4	Fully 5	
6.	Is there evidence that assessments are aligned with clearly specified and appropriate achievement expectations?	Not at All	Minima lly	Partially 3	Substantia Ily 4	Fully 5	
7.	Does the school provide adequate support to English learners in both learning English and gaining access to content?	Not at All	Minimally 2	Partially 3	Substantially 4	Fully 5	
8.	Do students with disabilities receive appropriate accommodations and modifications?	Not at All	Minimally 2	Partially 3	Substantially 4	Fully 5	
9.	Based on the comprehensive needs analysis, which subgroup(s) were targeted as needing improvement? a. English Learners b. Students with Disabilities (SWD) e. African American					ent?	c. Socio-economically Disadvantaged f. Other



	3. FOCUS AREA (PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT)	IMPLEMENTATION STATUS CIRCLE THE MOST APPROPRIATE SCORE					Notes
1.	Does the school have clearly articulated measurable goals for professional development?	Not at All	Minimally 2	Partially 3	Substantially 4	Fully 5	
2.	Does the school provide instructional assistance and support to teachers of language arts and mathematics?	Not at All	Minimally 2	Partially 3	Substantially 4	Fully 5	
3.	Does the school provide support to teachers regarding the core curriculum to deepen their knowledge about content and the delivery of instruction?	Not at All	Minimally 2	Partially 3	Substantially 4	Fully 5	
4.	How well are we using data to determine professional development for staff?	Not at All	Minimally 2	Partially 3	Substantially 4	Fully 5	
5.	5. What role do teachers have in deciding what assessments will be used to evaluate individual students or the program as a whole?						
6.	6. What types of professional development has staff attended?						
7.	7. What is the impact/effect of our professional development program?						
8.	8. How are collective and individual decisions regarding professional development determined?						
9.	9. How is implementation of professional development monitored?						



	4. FOCUS AREA (GRADUATION/PROMOTION)	Circ			ON STATUS ROPRIATE SO	Notes	
1.	Does the school have clearly articulated measurable goals for graduation/promotion?	Not at All	Minimally 2	Partially 3	Substantially 4	Fully 5	
2.	Is there evidence to determine that the curriculum is clearly linked to the Core and other standards for student learning for promotion?	Not at All	Minimally 2	Partially 3	Substantially 4	Fully 5	
3.	Is data used to inform curriculum, instruction, and assessment decisions to meet promotion or graduation standards?	Not at All	Minimally 2	Partially 3	Substantially 4	Fully 5	
4.	Is the staff focused on supporting and challenging all students to meet promotion and graduation goals?	Not at All	Minimally 2	Partially 3	Substantially 4	Fully 5	
5.	What evidence is there that there is a process for monitor and/or graduation goals?	ring, evalu	ating and 1	renewing	the curriculu	um to meet	the needs of all learners for promotion

6. How are instructional strategies and activities aligned with student learning needs and expected outcomes for achievement?



5. FOCUS AREA (PARENT ENGAGEMENT)					N STATUS ROPRIATE SO	Notes	
1.	Does the school have clearly articulated goals for parent engagement?	Not at All	Minimally 2	Partially 3	Substantially 4	Fully 5	
2.	Are families and community members involved in school decisions?	Not at All	Minimally 2	Partially 3	Substantially 4	Fully 5	
3.	Does the school regularly communicate to families who speak languages other than English?	Not at All	Minimally 2	Partially 3	Substantially 4	Fully 5	
1.	What types of services are available to support families, community members, and students to encourage healthy family relationships?	Not at All	Minimally 2	Partially 3	Substantially 4	Fully 5	
5. What evidence exists that families and community members are involved in meaningful activities that support students' learning? Which parents and community members are involved? What trends and patterns do we observe?							
6. What types of community partnerships exist to support families and students?							



School Name:

APPENDIX E SINGLE PLAN FOR STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT

EVALUATION AND ASSESSMENT SURVEY SUMMARY

TYPE OR PRINT						
ANALYSIS OF SCORES - FOCUS AREA	NOTES/ACTION/DECISIONS					
MAXIMIZING INSTRUCTIONAL TIME						
CLOSING THE ACHIEVEMENT GAP						
PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT						
GRADUATION/PROMOTION						
PARENT ENGAGEMENT						